



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/672,682 09/28/2000		John Hong	071815.0490	8101	
7	590 07/26/2002				
Ted R Rittma	ster	EXAMINER			
Foley & Lardn		ADDISON, KAREN B			
2029 Century I	Park East	ADDISON, ICHEM D			
35th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3021			2834		
			2834		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

9672682		
7-012		
'		AMINER
		ANTINEN
	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION							
Ø	ŢHE	PERIO	OD FOR RESPONSE:				
a)		is exter	nded to run	or continues to run	from the	date of the final rejection	
b))	Ø.		s three months from the date of the however, will the statutory period			tvisory Action, whichever is later. In the date of the final rejection.	no
		The da	ate on which the response, the pe ses of determining the period of e	etition, and the fee have extension and the corres	been filed is the date of the conding amount of the fee.	posed response and the appropriate le response and also the date for the Any extension fee pursuant to 37 C ponse or as set forth in b) above.	
	App	oellant's	Brief is due in accordance with	37 CFR 1.192(a).			
			response to the final rejection, fi e application in condition for allow		has been considered with	the following effect, but it is not deen	ned
1.		The pro	posed amendments to the claim	and /or specification wil	not be entered and the fin	nal rejection stands because:	
			There is no convincing showing uppresented.	nder 37 CFR 1.116(b) w	hy the proposed amendme	ent is necessary and was not earlier	
		b. 🔲 T	They raise new issues that would	require further consider	ation and/or search. (See	Note).	
		c. 🔲 1	They raise the issue of new matte	er. (See Note).			
			They are not deemed to place the appeal.	ne application in better fo	rm for appeal by materially	y reducing or simplifying the issues fo	r
		e. 🗌	They present additional claims w	rithout cancelling a corre	sponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
		NOTE:	:				<u>.</u>
2.			proposed or amended claims n-allowable claims.	would	be allowed if submitted in	a separately filed amendment cance	lling
3.	Ø	Upon to		d amendment 🗌 will be	entered K will not be er	ntered and the status of the claims wi	11
		Claims	allowed:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Claims Claims	s objected to:	17-23,25-	32/42-44	ul 52 - Eg	
			However;				
		∐ Ap	pplicant's response has overcom	e the following rejection	s):		
4.		Those	fidavit, exhibit or request for received all of the St.	onsideration has been co	nsidered but does not ove	rcome the rejection because A	aullowski 2-23/
5.			fidavit or exhibit will not be consid			sufficent reasons why it was not earli	
	The	proposi	ed drawing correction has	has not been appr	oved by the examiner.		
	Oth	er	-			my	
						ESTOR PAYIREZ	

DTOL 202 (DEV 6 90)